BOSTON PUBLIC LIBRARY
3 9999 06422 233 2

GOVT. DOC

No. 6352.62

1897

GIVEN BY

Boston, Board of Elections

ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

BOARD OF ELECTION COMMISSIONERS.

FOR THE

YEAR 1897.

3983
4

BOSTON:
MUNICIPAL PRINTING OFFICE.
1898.

1d cont

Boston, Board of Election Commissr

Mar 19, 1897

1897

PROPERTY OF THE BOSTON PUBLIC LIBRARY

Boston, February 21, 1898.

Hon. Josiah Quincy,

Mayor of the City of Boston:

Sir: In compliance with the requirements of sect. 22, chap. 3 of the Revised Ordinances, the Board of Election Commissioners respectfully submits its annual report for the year 1897.

The work of this department during the past year has been carried on along the lines previously established with very gratifying results.

The State election, occurring in what is known as an off-year, was not attended with as much political excitement as usual, and the vote cast, therefore, was correspondingly small. The different candidates for governor received nearly 20,000 less votes than were cast for the same officers in the year 1896.

The city election, however, was one of unusual activity, and brought out the largest vote ever cast at a municipal election in Boston.

The voting list for this election was the largest ever published in this city, containing the names of 102,473 voters, exceeding any previous year by nearly 6,000 names.

The voting list for the year 1897 was made up in the same manner as the new general list made during the year 1896. It contained, besides the name and residence of the voter, additional information tending to identify the voter. This form, although more expensive than the old style of alphabetical arrangement with merely the names and residences, was deemed to be so great an improvement over the former system that legislative authority was granted to the Board to continue this system, by chap. 296 of the Acts of 1897.

The laws governing the conduct of caucuses and elections still remain in a confused and unsatisfactory condition, notwithstanding the many efforts that have been made from time to time to simplify and consolidate them into one comprehensive act.

The Secretary of the Commonwealth, acting under a resolve passed by the Legislature of 1896, directing him to prepare a codification of all the statutes relating to the manner of holding and conducting elections and caucuses, made a careful study of the whole question and submitted a report which contained a uniform and harmonious codification of all the laws now in force relating to registration, and to the manner of holding caucuses and elections, and embodied therein certain recommendations of particular importance relating to the same subject. This report was submitted to the Legislature in the form of a bill which it was hoped and expected would receive favorable consideration from the Legislature of 1896, but for certain reasons, perhaps the strongest one being that this bill was not submitted for the consideration of the Legislature until nearly the close of the session, the whole matter was referred to the Legislature of this year. We are gratified to learn that thus early in the session this bill has been taken from the files and referred to the Committee on Election Laws, which is some indication that the subject is finally to receive the consideration that its importance demands.

Caucuses and Elections.

During the year there were held seven caucuses and two elections. We are pleased to report that the caucuses were conducted in an orderly manner and the returns were made with greater promptness and accuracy than heretofore. We believe this to be due somewhat to the law passed by the last Legislature allowing a voter desiring to vote for an entire delegation to make a cross in a circle at the head of a delegation instead of marking each name, we believe this change in the law has worked in the main to the advantage of the voter.

The conduct of elections, in general, has been extremely gratifying. The officers performed their duties impartially and faithfully, and in general with a degree of intelligence which is very satisfactory; but as we observed last year in our report, much remains to be done in the matter of making the official returns. We do not mean by this that the returns are not made honestly according to the count, but the statute which directs the making of official returns is very technical. It requires that the returns shall be made in a particular manner, and, in our opinion, the direction of the statute in this respect should not be departed from, and we have endeavored, by a system of oral and written instructions, given to the election officers, to have them understand the importance of complying with the statutes in this particular, but notwithstanding all our efforts in this direction much remains to be desired. We are somewhat at a loss to know what remedy to suggest for this defect, unless it is as we stated in our report last year that more time be given to us for the appointment and instruction of election officers.

We think that the appointment of the large number of deputy election officers, now required by law, is entirely unnecessary and the expense attendant upon this requirement of law is hardly justified by the duties that the deputies perform. They are simply required to appear at the opening of the polls in order that they may fill vacancies, if any exist, in the places of the regular officers. In practice, however, we find that hardly two per cent. of all the deputies are called upon to serve. More than this, the statute already provides that in the case of a vacancy existing among any of the election officers at the opening of the polls, nominations may be made from the floor and the vacancy filled by a vote of those present before the polls are opened. A saving of $3,500 could be made by an amendment to the law in this respect.

We would again request the various political committees to exercise further care in preparing nomination papers for candidates to be placed upon the official ballot for caucuses, and particularly that the alterations and additions made necessary by withdrawals or otherwise should be plainly and correctly made.

We realize the responsibility that is placed upon us when we are called upon to print the ballots for caucuses, and we desire that the committees may assist us as far as possible, so that the danger of mistakes may be reduced to a minimum.

RECOUNTS.

The petitions for recounts of votes cast at caucuses and elections increase greatly from year to year, and the criticisms contained in our report of last year, of the great abuse of the right to petition for recounts, is strengthened by the experience of the year just passed.

Over 200 petitions for recounts were presented and acted upon, and, except in a few instances, the result of the recounts generally indicated that the petitions were wholly unnecessary. In many cases those directly interested in the result of the recounts, although seasonably notified, were not sufficiently interested in the matter to appear and be present during the progress of the count. Our inference from this fact is that in the cases last referred to the recounts were asked, for some other reason than for the purpose of ascertaining whether the ballots were properly counted as cast. We desire to state in this connection that it is imperative that some more commodious quarters should be furnished this department in which to conduct the recounts. The law provides that each individual whose interests may be affected by the result of the recount shall have the right to be present and witness the conduct of the same, and in many instances, if the parties interested did not waive their right to be present and agree upon some one individual to represent them, it would have been simply impossible with our present accommodations to have performed this duty. Particularly was this true at the recount conducted by this department after the last city election. The representatives of the various candidates whose votes are being counted, or the candidates themselves, naturally desire to observe everything connected with the proceedings, and unless they can do this they naturally feel some degree of dissatisfaction. It is apparent, therefore, that with more commodious quarters this work could be performed more expeditiously, and certainly more satisfactorily to all parties concerned.

This work is, perhaps, the most delicate that the commissioners are called upon to perform, and yet our conveniences for doing it are more inadequate to the demands and the nature of the work than for the performance of any other part of our official duties.

BALLOT LAW COMMISSION.

Acting as the Ballot Law Commissioners of the City of Boston, we were called upon to hear and determine but one case during the past year. The ground upon which this com-

plaint was made was the alleged illegality of the conduct of a caucus held in Ward 6. The specific illegality upon which the complainants relied being, that the caucus was closed before 8.30 o'clock P.M. in violation of the statute. It appeared in evidence that the caucus was closed at about 8.05 P.M., and the cause of closing at that hour was that the ballots which were provided by the Board of Election Commissioners, upon requisition of the City Committee, were exhausted, and that there was no further business to be transacted.

It appeared also that the requisition for ballots which was made seemed to be amply abundant for the use of the caucus, and that the committee, in making their requisition, exercised reasonably good judgment and acted in perfect good faith. It was decided that this informality, under the circumstances did not invalidate the caucus, and the names of the candidates selected at that caucus were placed upon the official ballot. One other complaint was made but was withdrawn by the complainants at the time set for the hearing.

In connection with this subject we desire to say that some embarrassment results to this department, and to the public generally, from the laws as they now stand with regard to the filing of nomination papers for the nomination of candidates to be placed upon the official ballot at the city election. The law requires that nomination papers for this purpose must first be submitted *seasonably* to the Election Commissioners that the names may be certified before the papers are regularly filed. The embarrassment arises from the construction of this word "seasonably." In the case of nominations for state officers this embarrassment is avoided, because the certifying officers and the officer with whom the papers must be regularly filed are distinct individuals, the certifying officers being the Board of Election Commissioners, and the officer with whom the papers must be filed being the Secretary of the Commonwealth. But in the case of city officers the certifying officers and the officers with whom the papers must be filed are the same individuals, and on account of this dual capacity many of the candidates who have to do with this department in connection with the filing of independent nomination papers do not make the distinction between the submission for certification and the filing of the papers after they are certified. They do not understand that there is a distinct act of submission for certification to be performed before the papers can be filed with this Board.

We suggest, therefore, if possible, that some line of demarcation be made so that the statute would plainly indi-

cate that there are two things to be done by the prospective candidate before his papers can be regularly filed with the commissioners. Perhaps if the word "seasonably" were striken from the statute, and the words "twenty-four hours before the time for filing" be substituted therefor this embarrassment might be avoided.

COMPLAINTS FOR ILLEGAL REGISTRATION.

During the month of December, immediately prior to the city election, 523 complaints for illegal registration, under the provisions of sects. 54 and 55, chap. 417 of the Acts of 1893, were submitted to this department. These complaints were filed seven days before election and the commissioners, as required by law, inquired into the nature of the complaints, and in 415 cases issued summonses to the parties named therein; in 108 cases the commissioners deemed the evidence insufficient and declined to issue summonses. The matter of the complaints was heard at times and places fixed, and 236 respondents appeared before the commissioners. Of these 236 respondents who appeared, the complaints against 210 were dismissed; a small number were sustained, and in about 20 other cases the names of the voters were transferred to other locations. One hundred and eighty individuals to whom summonses had been issued failed to appear, and under the law their names were stricken from the list.

The statute under which these complaints were made, provides that they shall be filed with this department seven days, at least, before an election, and further provides that the commissioners shall inquire into the nature of the complaints, and, if they deem the evidence sufficient, they shall issue a summons to the voter against whom the complaint is made, and this summons must be served upon the voter in person, or by leaving a copy of the same at his last and usual place of abode, not more than fourteen days and not less than twenty-four hours before the day named for the hearing. It will be readily seen, therefore, that when a large number of complaints are filed only seven days before an election it is somewhat difficult to first inquire into the matter of the complaints and afterward to cause a summons to be served and then to give the voter a hearing, if he responds to the summons. In no instance in the cases above referred to was there an opportunity to give the respondents longer notice than the minimum allowed by law, and in many cases, where the respondents appeared, it transpired that they had as a matter

of fact received no summons, but notice had been brought to them through the public press that their names had been, or were about to be, stricken from the list because they were illegally registered. The difficulty, therefore, in the practical operation of this law when a large number of complaints are filed such a short time before an election is, that it may work serious injustice to citizens whose names are properly on the voting list, but who have not received proper notice of the complaints pending against them. There seems to be no good reason why the time for filing these complaints should not be extended to at least fourteen days. Registration in the city of Boston closes twenty days before the election, and during the progress of registration the public are kept constantly informed through the press of the names and residences of those who have been added to the voting list, so that any public-spirited citizen who desires to prevent illegal registration, or to expose it if such a thing occurs, may have ample opportunity to inspect the lists from time to time as they appear, and after the close of registration, there would be six entire days to further investigate and be prepared to furnish any evidence that might be necessary to have such cases brought before the proper authorities.

We would suggest, therefore, that the time for filing complaints and the time for serving notices upon respondents be extended, and that the respondents, when they appear before this Board, shall be confronted with the witnesses upon whose evidence the complaint is based.

And that no name shall be stricken from the list for the non-appearance of a respondent until after evidence tending to establish the illegality of the registration shall have been submitted at the hearing, and that the Election Commissioners be given power to summons and compel the attendance of witnesses, and that some provision be made for the compensation of witnesses who may be summoned in support of the complaints.

ELECTION BOOTHS AND POLLING PLACES.

In our last annual report we expressed an opinion that it was desirable that this department should be equipped with a full number of voting booths for the 191 precincts in the city.

Heretofore, we have by courtesy of the School Board used for election purposes seventy-five or more school-houses. During the past year, however, the School Board have served notice upon us that hereafter we must provide ourselves with

other places in which to hold our elections. Their reasons for this action seem to be entirely reasonable.

The holding of elections interferes seriously with the discipline of the schools, and in many places we were obliged to enter the buildings and to remove the furniture, and to transform the school-room for the time being into a polling place, and even where we were enabled to use the basement of the schools for election purposes the school buildings for that day were closed to the scholars. We shall therefore be obliged to provide the public with a sufficient number of polling booths to take the place of the school-houses that have thus been withdrawn from our use, and with this end in view we have asked this year for an appropriation for the purchase of 100 iron polling booths, samples of which were used at the last municipal election, and which seemed to be sufficiently adapted for use as election places.

We have now available fifty-nine booths, including the three iron ones which we had constructed as samples, and twenty-five wardrooms. We consider that the purchase of these booths will be in the end a substantial saving to the city because we estimate, taking into account a proper amount for depreciation, interest on the investment and the expense of handling and storing these buildings, that the expense each year will be very much less than if we chose the alternative of hiring stores and other available places in which to hold our elections.

EXPENSES.

At the beginning of the year the Board estimated that the expenses of the department for the year 1897 would amount to $132,000, but the City Council appropriated for our purposes the sum of $120,000.

As a matter of fact, the expenses of the department amounted for the year 1897 to $137,632.68. It should be borne in mind that while the expenses of the department are practically fixed charges, yet the expenses for each year in each branch of the work increase with the growth of the city, and that it costs more in all branches of our department to register 102,000 voters than to register 5,000 or 10,000 less. Every name added to the list increases the expense of the department. This suggestion may in some degree explain the increased cost of running the department from year to year.

The expenditures of the department for the financial year ending January 31, 1898, are as follows:

EXPENSES OF ELECTION DEPARTMENT.

Salaries:		
Commissioners	$14,500 00	
Secretary	2,500 00	
Assistant Registrars and Messenger	32,153 18	
		$49,153 18
Election officers		21,247 50
Supervisors		2,840 00
Printing		24,917 81
Repairs and fitting up precinct voting places		9,387 81
Carriage-hire and travelling expenses, caucuses and elections,	$3,979 75	
Travelling expenses, Board to New York, Buffalo and Cleveland	300 00	
		4,279 75
Rents		4,147 00
Care of precinct voting places		4,047 19
Copying polls from assessors' books		3,638 45
Advertising		3,564 07
Carting booths, furniture, etc.		2,964 93
Stationery		2,068 39
New ballot-boxes and repairs on old ones		1,420 80
Boards and posting		964 14
New voting booths		900 00
Refreshments, police officers and employees, at caucuses and elections		828 80
Office expenses and small items		432 56
Telephone service		340 38
Constables' services		316 44
Fuel, gas and electric light		173 48
		$137,632 68

The following pages show in tabulated form the number of assessed polls, registered voters and votes cast in this city at the State and municipal elections of 1897:

Respectfully submitted,

LINUS E. PEARSON,
JAMES J. COSTELLO,
TIMOTHY F. MCDONOUGH,
GEORGE P. SANGER,
Board of Election Commissioners.

TABULATED STATEMENT

OF

ASSESSED POLLS, REGISTERED VOTERS, AND
VOTES CAST AT STATE AND
MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS,

1897.

Assessed Polls, State Election, 1897.

Wards.	PRECINCTS.									Totals.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	
1	592	592	784	726	773	828	894	784	355	6,328
2	805	704	812	713	663	750	859	1,058	....	6,364
3	603	729	621	836	779	655	.....	.....	....	4,223
4	661	629	700	725	738	669	.....	.....	....	4,122
5	685	716	781	588	918	734	.....	.....	....	4,422
6	904	965	1,114	1,245	1,182	619	1,228	971	....	8,228
7	718	964	1,112	1,135	1,006	1,010	.....	.....	....	5,945
8	1,215	1,666	1,053	1,261	1,348	1,333	.....	.....	....	7,876
9	1,382	1,343	1,169	1,170	1,136	895	896	.....	....	7,991
10	917	857	843	797	759	764	1,086	976	618	7,617
11	854	1,041	868	800	469	462	568	467	452	5,981
12	859	749	740	1,004	899	1,223	839	.....	....	6,313
13	1,115	1,115	1,067	909	922	757	827	916	....	7,628
14	1,077	893	569	556	711	652	739	812	....	6 009
15	590	742	698	616	605	590	711	681	....	5,233
16	710	838	831	792	718	631	881	.....	....	5,401
17	962	694	619	763	707	771	795	998	583	6,892
18	1,029	1,302	1,266	1,257	1,227	1,148	.....	.....	....	7,229
19	857	993	1,009	926	753	815	801	800	....	6,954
20	999	850	734	856	899	885	916	866	....	7,005
21	767	752	463	665	764	597	795	808	724	6,335
22	1,08[illegible]	966	750	929	964	585	706	808	....	6,793
23	468	657	700	781	790	744	465	629	406	5,640
24	778	672	880	601	610	643	854	1,016	680	6,734
25	892	847	581	725	591	837	718	.....	....	5,191
Total	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	....	158,454

Registered Voters (Men), State Election, 1897.

Wards.	PRECINCTS.									Totals.	Per cent. Assessed Polls Registered by Wards.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		
1	378	402	546	480	500	426	556	559	196	4,043	63.89
2	488	391	474	437	372	444	504	600	....	3,710	58.30
3	424	511	456	553	549	451	....	....	....	2,944	69.71
4	476	395	481	500	521	372	....	....	....	2,745	66.59
5	449	518	467	361	556	410	....	....	....	2,761	62.44
6	397	462	520	550	538	380	506	407	....	3,760	45.70
7	417	426	533	476	549	573	....	....	....	2,974	54.12
8	585	735	524	663	681	701	....	....	....	3,889	49.38
9	601	612	507	567	522	442	524	....	....	3,775	47.24
10	425	441	397	409	385	457	648	572	382	4,116	54.04
11	465	548	534	535	372	332	441	372	341	3,940	65.88
12	571	547	427	580	505	627	494	....	....	3,751	59.42
13	469	510	424	445	474	403	447	482	....	3,654	47.90
14	646	580	456	413	484	443	539	550	....	4,111	68.41
15	307	471	463	436	475	416	485	475	....	3,528	67.42
16	465	528	591	562	497	483	631	....	....	3,757	69.56
17	452	406	400	500	420	431	455	582	431	4,077	59.16
18	537	617	577	663	661	649	....	....	....	3,704	51.24
19	503	484	620	552	437	517	570	480	....	4,163	59.86
20	671	616	518	552	628	625	639	581	....	4,830	68.95
21	481	522	341	491	504	453	567	593	510	4,462	70.43
22	704	540	476	585	553	421	500	480	....	4,259	62.70
23	344	431	464	515	548	528	374	463	289	3,956	70.14
24	481	454	582	466	428	445	605	685	486	4,632	68.79
25	554	530	419	427	461	509	493	....	....	3,393	65.36
Total	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	94,934	.....

Average per cent. of men assessed who registered, 59.91.

Number of Votes Cast for Governor at State Election, 1897.

Wards.	PRECINCT 1.					PRECINCT 2.					
	Bascom (Prohibition).	Brophy (Socialistic Labor).	Everett (Democratic National).	Williams (Democratic).	Wolcott (Republican).	Bascom (Prohibition).	Brophy (Socialistic Labor).	Everett (Democratic National).	Williams (Democratic).	Wolcott (Republican).	Scattering.
1	5	....	8	42	196	8	....	3	17	240	
2	3	12	14	198	83	2	2	23	132	81	
3	10	2	13	57	208	....	4	15	175	129	
4	4	3	30	191	112	3	3	8	53	184	
5	1	4	19	62	199	2	5	21	150	177	
6	1	5	6	203	62	4	11	15	228	90	
7	5	3	11	97	140	2	3	13	134	119	
8	6	14	10	339	64	4	10	21	271	164	
9	2	21	7	152	166	5	13	10	210	167	
10	3	8	12	84	108	4	5	13	51	150	
11	5	2	24	47	224	4	2	9	80	226	
12	2	4	21	52	283	3	....	32	35	288	
13	1	3	12	255	45	2	6	10	247	52	
14	4	6	11	268	115	3	5	14	328	47	1
15	1	1	9	101	77	2	11	14	199	61	
16	3	14	12	143	145	....	11	19	186	133	
17	3	6	10	194	82	2	2	22	162	70	
18	2	12	16	206	116	5	9	19	173	154	
19	....	15	19	164	95	4	11	15	139	114	
20	2	....	21	95	294	1	3	26	78	257	
21	4	5	11	65	192	2	4	29	104	193	
22	2	10	25	113	265	2	17	13	199	132	
23	3	1	13	51	206	1	....	14	214	97	
24	5	7	9	126	133	2	5	7	114	135	
25	2	4	24	91	188	5	1	16	38	298	

Number of Votes Cast for Governor at State Election, 1897. — *Continued.*

PRECINCT 3.					PRECINCT 4.					Wards.
BASCOM (Prohibition).	BROPHY (Socialistic Labor).	EVERETT (Democratic National).	WILLIAMS (Democratic).	WOLCOTT (Republican).	BASCOM (Prohibition).	BROPHY (Socialistic Labor).	EVERETT (Democratic National).	WILLIAMS (Democratic).	WOLCOTT (Republican).	
1	2	10	41	291	3	1	7	34	261	1
1	4	22	152	125	....	6	29	156	92	2
2	4	26	134	150	....	4	20	266	68	3
....	2	20	85	234	2	8	24	158	160	4
2	7	24	205	54	5	....	15	106	93	5
2	3	21	205	97	3	5	10	203	90	6
2	7	15	187	135	1	6	4	94	179	7
9	5	19	50	235	3	12	20	275	164	8
1	14	11	275	63	3	8	16	214	139	9
4	2	10	20	178	4	2	13	22	174	10
2	5	23	78	235	4	3	53	54	268	11
5	2	14	97	158	6	2	28	72	252	12
....	6	9	224	38	1	6	15	240	56	13
1	3	18	155	100	1	6	12	94	174	14
2	2	16	172	106	3	1	14	139	141	15
3	7	20	169	214	6	3	23	66	271	16
3	1	20	63	163	2	5	30	93	205	17
6	11	12	107	205	3	14	15	162	196	18
....	17	27	282	86	1	6	24	209	100	19
2	....	20	100	209	4	2	20	181	154	20
3	2	17	40	142	5	1	22	54	232	21
1	2	15	164	78	5	23	19	97	220	22
....	10	23	176	92	4	7	15	128	180	23
6	2	15	76	242	2	2	12	92	174	24
2	....	15	35	226	3	7	19	178	75	25

Number of Votes cast for Governor at State Election, 1897. — *Continued.*

Wards.	PRECINCT 5.					PRECINCT 6.				
	BASCOM (Prohibition).	BROPHY (Socialistic Labor).	EVERETT (Democratic National).	WILLIAMS (Democratic).	WOLCOTT (Republican).	BASCOM (Prohibition).	BROPHY (Socialistic Labor).	EVERETT (Democratic National).	WILLIAMS (Democratic).	WOLCOTT (Republican).
1	1	1	11	74	212	1	5	10	149	89
2	4	6	17	146	68	3	6	20	130	123
3	4	8	30	201	93	....	5	20	212	47
4	1	12	26	253	88	4	2	10	99	124
5	4	6	25	222	101	2	3	10	127	109
6	6	6	14	102	169	5	5	21	32	182
7	3	10	12	286	77	3	8	14	280	113
8	7	30	14	306	166	1	12	19	298	134
9	1	4	21	85	217	5	5	7	54	210
10	2	2	8	17	179	4	3	19	18	217
11	....	....	50	3	222	3	....	28	6	203
12	4	4	15	41	228	2	8	25	156	197
13	1	14	19	232	83	1	2	12	195	73
14	8	10	12	115	171	5	4	13	113	168
15	2	6	19	140	141	4	9	14	93	162
16	1	2	18	87	229	4	4	24	17	300
17	4	2	20	152	93	3	7	13	200	78
18	5	15	19	317	83	6	12	20	169	178
19	1	8	14	146	116	3	7	31	140	150
20	3	2	16	84	206	4	2	15	67	281
21	7	5	15	51	238	6	4	16	33	231
22	1	20	19	99	189	7	2	7	39	221
23	5	11	24	115	198	8	12	13	45	270
24	6	....	18	40	196	3	4	15	88	174
25	2	2	22	160	156	4	1	14	115	219

Number of Votes cast for Governor at State Election, 1897. — *Continued.*

PRECINCT 7.					PRECINCT 8.					Wards.
BASCOM (Prohibition).	BROPHY (Socialistic Labor).	EVERETT (Democratic National).	WILLIAMS (Democratic).	WOLCOTT (Republican).	BASCOM (Prohibition).	BROPHY (Socialistic Labor).	EVERETT (Democratic National).	WILLIAMS (Democratic).	WOLCOTT (Republican).	
3	1	16	128	163	1	4	21	139	143	1
1	3	19	196	81	1	3	18	255	99	2
....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	3
....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	4
....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	5
4	4	6	157	118	3	4	20	202	34	6
....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	7
....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	8
4	5	13	261	90	....	....	....	....	....	9
3	2	29	35	300	3	2	23	36	222	10
....	1	42	9	252	1	1	49	4	214	11
....	8	22	135	154	....	....	....	....	....	12
1	6	15	244	52	2	5	10	221	74	13
5	7	13	191	152	4	2	21	136	175	14
4	19	16	126	142	....	14	15	147	127	15
4	7	35	213	190	....	....	....	....	....	16
....	2	17	190	96	....	10	10	206	161	17
....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	18
....	7	27	186	144	2	15	17	203	92	19
11	1	25	56	272	....	5	22	49	258	20
4	1	25	74	234	2	5	20	24	302	21
4	....	16	38	274	2	10	16	131	167	22
5	6	10	43	225	3	....	18	38	263	23
1	3	18	70	296	6	5	21	79	278	24
....	....	16	211	156	....	....	....	....	....	25

Number of Votes cast for Governor at State Election, 1897. — *Concluded.*

Wards.	PRECINCT 9.						TOTALS.						
	Bascom (Prohibition).	Brophy (Socialistic Labor).	Everett (Democratic National).	Williams (Democratic).	Wolcott (Republican).	Scattering.	Bascom (Prohibition).	Brophy (Socialistic Labor).	Everett (Democratic National).	Williams (Democratic).	Wolcott* (Republican).	Scattering.	For all Gubernatorial Candidates.
1	...	2	12	27	89		23	16	98	651	1,684	...	2,472
2	...	...	...	...	...	..	15	42	162	1,365	752	...	2,336
3	...	...	...	...	...	..	16	27	124	1,045	695	...	1,907
4	...	...	...	...	...	..	14	30	118	839	902	...	1,903
5	...	...	...	...	...	..	16	25	114	872	733	...	1,760
6	...	..	...	...	...	..	28	43	113	1,332	842	...	2,358
7	...	...	...	...	...	..	16	37	69	1,078	763	...	1,963
8	...	...	...	...	...	..	30	83	103	1,539	927	...	2,682
9	...	...	...	...	...	..	21	70	85	1,251	1,052	...	2,479
10	...	...	11	16	177	1	27	26	138	299	1,705	1	2,196
11	2	1	24	11	186	..	21	15	302	292	2,030	...	2,660
12	...	...	...	...	...	..	22	28	157	588	1,560	...	2,355
13	...	...	...	...	...	..	9	48	102	1,858	473	...	2,490
14	...	...	...	...	...	..	31	43	114	1,400	1,102	1	2,691
15	...	...	...	...	...	..	18	63	117	1,117	957	...	2,272
16	...	...	...	...	...	..	21	48	151	881	1,482	...	2,583
17	2	4	16	98	171	..	19	39	158	1,358	1,119	...	2,693
18	...	...	...	...	...	..	27	73	101	1,134	932	...	2,267
19	...	...	...	...	...	..	11	86	174	1,469	897	...	2,637
20	...	...	...	...	...	..	27	15	165	710	1,931	...	2,848
21	4	...	23	44	239	..	37	27	178	489	2,003	...	2,734
22	...	...	...	...	...	..	24	84	130	880	1,546	...	2,664
23	...	1	9	77	112	..	29	48	139	887	1,643	...	2,746
24	5	3	16	86	190	..	36	31	131	771	1,818	...	2,787
25	...	...	...	...	...	..	18	15	126	828	1,318	...	2,305
Totals	...	...	...	...	...	..	556	1,062	3,369	24,933	30,866	2	60,788

*Elected.

Proportion of men registered who voted for Governor, 64.03 per cent.

Votes cast for State Officers (except Governor), State Election, 1897, in the City of Boston.

CANDIDATES FOR LIEUTENANT-GOVERNOR.	VOTES CAST.
Buckland, Socialistic Labor	1,386
Callahan, Democratic	24,298
Cotter, Democratic National	4,507
*Crane, Republican	28,167
Wylie, Prohibition	721
Scattering	2
Total	59,081

Proportion of men registered who voted, 62.23 per cent.

CANDIDATES FOR SECRETARY OF STATE.	VOTES CAST.
Barr, Socialistic Labor	1,554
Nash, Democratic	23,890
*Olin, Republican	28,416
Sawtell, Prohibition	684
Wolf, Democratic National	3,103
Total	57,647

Proportion of men registered who voted, 60.72 per cent.

CANDIDATES FOR TREASURER AND RECEIVER-GENERAL.	VOTES CAST.
Brown, Socialistic Labor	1,623
Habberley, Prohibition	791
*Shaw, Republican	27,176
Tobey, Democratic National	3,964
Watson, Democratic	23,490
Scattering	1
Total	57,045

* Elected.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 60.09 per cent.

Votes cast for State Officers (except Governor), State Election, 1897, in the City of Boston.— *Concluded.*

CANDIDATES FOR AUDITOR.	VOTES CAST.
Ballam, Socialistic Labor	1,738
Douglas, Democratic National	3,146
*Kimball, Republican	27,479
Sheldon, Democratic	23,716
Small, Prohibition	560
Total	56,639

Proportion of men registered who voted 59.66 per cent.

CANDIDATES FOR ATTORNEY-GENERAL.	VOTES CAST.
Hamlin, Prohibition	780
Harrison, Socialistic Labor	1,572
*Knowlton, Republican	27,999
McClench, Democratic National	3,077
O'Keefe, Democratic	23,947
Total	57,375

* Elected.

Proportion of men registered who voted 60.44 per cent.

Votes cast for Councillor, State Election, 1897.

SECOND DISTRICT.

Wards.	ESTES, Dem. Nat.	Lovell,* Rep.	WENTWORTH, Dem.
16	176	1,332	922
20	198	1,803	763
21	211	1,868	550
22	172	1,461	863
23	179	1,497	935
24	160	1,746	765
Totals	1,096	9,707	4,798

*Elected.

Registered voters, 25,896.
Votes cast, 15,601.
Proportion of men registered who voted, 60.26 per cent.
The balance of this district comprises the First Bristol, and the First and Second Norfolk Senatorial Districts.

THIRD DISTRICT.

Wards.	McSORLEY, Dem.	SWALLOW,* Rep.
1	791	1,626
3	1,190	669
4	950	883
5	958	732
Totals	3,889	3,910

* Elected.

Registered voters, 12,493.
Votes cast, 7,799.
Proportion of men registered who voted, 62.43 per cent.
The balance of this district comprises Chelsea, Revere, Winthrop and the First, Second, and Third Middlesex Senatorial Districts.

Votes cast for Councillor, State Election, 1897.

FOURTH DISTRICT.

Wards.	RUFFIN, Rep.	SULLIVAN,* Dem.	Scattering.
2	504	1,819	
6	574	1,603	1
7	582	1,241	1
8	689	1,864	1
9	833	1,495	1
10	1,351	693	
11	1,458	945	8
12	1,219	982	1
13	341	1,925	2
14	956	1,637	
15	792	1,362	1
17	887	1,694	2
18	726	1,396	
19	637	1,858	1
25	1,115	1,077	
Totals	12,664	21,591	19

*Elected.

Registered voters, 56,545.
Votes cast, 34,274.
Proportion of men registered who voted, 60.61 per cent.

Votes cast for Senator, State Election, 1897.

FIRST SENATORIAL DISTRICT.

Ward 1.	CUNNINGHAM, Dem.	ROBERTS,* Rep.
Precinct 1	60	190
" 2	21	237
" 3	59	279
" 4	39	251
" 5	89	197
" 6	171	75
" 7	158	151
" 8	188	115
" 9	33	93
Totals	818	1,588

* Elected.

Registered voters, 4,043.
Votes cast, 2,406.
Proportion of men registered who voted, 59.51 per cent.
The balance of this district is composed of Chelsea, Revere and Winthrop.

Votes cast for Senator, State Election, 1897.

SECOND SENATORIAL DISTRICT.

Ward 3.	CARTER, Rep.	HAYES,* Dem.	O'NEIL, Dem. Soc. Nom.	Scattering
Precinct 1	199	75	8	
" 2	97	201	27	
" 3	114	179	19	
" 4	38	286	33	
" 5	62	247	20	
" 6	29	233	19	
Totals	539	1,221	126	
Ward 4.				
Precinct 1	83	228	23	
" 2	172	63	3	
" 3	199	120	8	
" 4	136	185	20	
" 5	52	294	20	
" 6	115	114	4	
Totals	757	1,004	78	
Ward 5.				
Precinct 1	177	88	8	
" 2	130	196	14	1
" 3	27	232	17	
" 4	79	124	8	
" 5	75	247	16	
" 6	84	157	8	
Totals	572	1,044	71	1
Grand totals	1,868	3,269	275	1

*Elected.

Votes cast, 5,413.
Proportion of men registered who voted, 64.06 per cent.
The balance of this district is Ward 3, Cambridge.

Votes cast for Senator, State Election, 1897.

THIRD SENATORIAL DISTRICT.

Ward 2.	DONOVAN, Dem. Bryan Nom.	FARR, Rep.	ROURKE,* Dem.	SILVERMAN, Rep. Cit. Nom.
Precinct 1	69	42	168	20
" 2	42	42	139	5
" 3	66	69	135	15
" 4	69	48	142	14
" 5	54	39	131	15
" 6	68	82	104	13
" 7	80	37	168	9
" 8	106	46	191	23
Totals	554	405	1,178	114
Ward 6.				
Precinct 1.....	41	23	195	12
" 2.....	29	18	234	25
" 3.....	29	28	244	36
" 4.....	42	32	213	40
" 5.....	17	61	152	68
" 6.....	14	108	48	65
" 7.....	21	23	197	53
" 8.....	56	8	183	19
Totals.....	249	301	1,466	318
Ward 8.				
Precinct 1.....	32	20	313	59
" 2.....	60	88	239	62
" 3.....	17	179	53	51
" 4.....	45	75	260	68
" 5.....	49	66	290	73
" 6.....	53	77	282	26
Totals.....	256	505	1,437	339
Grand totals.....	1,059	1,211	4,081	771

* Elected.

Votes cast, 7,122.
Proportion of men registered who voted, 62.70 per cent.

Votes cast for Senator, State Election, 1897.

FOURTH SENATORIAL DISTRICT.

Ward 7.	GILL, Rep.	QUIRK,* Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct 1	104	124	
" 2	101	157	
" 3	99	216	2
" 4	165	92	
" 5	52	310	
" 6	63	329	
Totals	584	1,228	2
Ward 9.			
Precinct 1	124	200	
" 2	133	242	
" 3	30	309	
" 4	110	252	1
" 5	171	134	
" 6	201	78	
" 7	73	279	
Totals	842	1,494	1
Ward 17.			
Precinct 1	61	226	
" 2	56	183	
" 3	134	102	
" 4	166	157	
" 5	61	205	
" 6	39	270	
" 7	49	259	
" 8	111	271	
" 9	122	152	
Totals	799	1,825	
Grand totals	2,225	4,547	3

* Elected.

Votes cast, 6,775.
Proportion of men registered who voted, 62.59 per cent.

Votes cast for Senator, State Election, 1897.

FIFTH SENATORIAL DISTRICT.

Ward 10.	PALMER, Dem.	TOWLE,* Rep.
Precinct 1	104	105
" 2	68	149
" 3	29	183
" 4	38	172
" 5	21	177
" 6	34	216
" 7	60	293
" 8	58	215
" 9	28	170
Totals	440	1,680
Ward 12.		
Precinct 1	61	296
" 2	114	237
" 3	84	192
" 4	93	248
" 5	73	208
" 6	179	186
" 7	182	130
Totals	786	1,497
Ward 18.		
Precinct 1	225	103
" 2	234	115
" 3	137	179
" 4	201	166
" 5	338	74
" 6	204	155
Totals	1,339	792
Grand totals	2,565	3,969

* Elected.

Votes cast, 6,534.
Proportion of men registered who voted, 56.47 per cent.

Votes cast for Senator, State Election, 1897.

SIXTH SENATORIAL DISTRICT.

Ward 13.	CUMMINGS, Rep.	GALLIVAN,* Dem.
Precinct 1	23	271
" 2	30	265
" 3	19	257
" 4	49	251
" 5	53	275
" 6	55	205
" 7	31	257
" 8	41	238
Totals	301	2,019
Ward 14.		
Precinct 1	92	307
" 2	18	368
" 3	68	201
" 4	143	146
" 5	159	146
" 6	146	141
" 7	134	219
" 8	129	196
Totals	889	1,724
Ward 15.		
Precinct 1	65	125
" 2	37	235
" 3	76	208
" 4	100	192
" 5	93	206
" 6	142	140
" 7	112	172
" 8	97	194
Totals	722	1,472
Grand totals	1,912	5,215

* Elected.

Votes cast, 7,127.
Proportion of men registered who voted, 63.11 per cent.

Votes cast for Senator, State Election, 1897.

SEVENTH SENATORIAL DISTRICT.

Ward 16.	FOLSOM,* Rep.	GRIFFIN, Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct 1	143	156	
" 2	139	203	
" 3	198	191	1
" 4	281	83	
" 5	231	100	
" 6	296	39	
" 7	181	255	
Totals	1,469	1,027	1
Ward 20.			
Precinct 1	287	114	2
" 2	266	85	3
" 3	233	98	
" 4	199	172	
" 5	210	90	
" 6	274	78	1
" 7	282	71	
" 8	283	50	
Totals	2,034	758	6
Ward 24.			
Precinct 1	180	100	
" 2	143	114	
" 3	248	78	
" 4	176	84	
" 5	165	76	2
" 6	179	99	
" 7	294	79	
" 8	280	97	
" 9	188	88	
Totals	1,853	815	2
Grand totals	5,356	2,600	9

* Elected.

Votes cast, 7,965.
Proportion of men registered who voted, 60.25 per cent.

Votes cast for Senator, State Election, 1897.

EIGHTH SENATORIAL DISTRICT.

Ward 21.	COUIG, Dem.	DAVIS,* Rep.
Precinct 1	79	188
" 2	141	173
" 3	63	133
" 4	68	228
" 5	76	230
" 6	40	238
" 7	104	224
" 8	43	298
" 9	57	235
Totals	671	1,947
Ward 22.		
Precinct 1	143	259
" 2	226	112
" 3	185	69
" 4	103	207
" 5	131	179
" 6	44	225
" 7	47	273
" 8	146	162
Totals	1,025	1,486
Ward 23.		
Precinct 1	71	202
" 2	248	72
" 3	210	84
" 4	155	163
" 5	146	198
" 6	51	282
" 7	57	228
" 8	42	272
" 9	92	106
Totals	1,072	1,607
Grand totals	2,768	5,040

* Elected.

Votes cast, 7,808.
Proportion of men registered who voted, 61.59 per cent.

Votes cast for Senator, State Election, 1897.

NINTH SENATORIAL DISTRICT.

Ward 11.	HOLDEN,* Rep.	PORTER, Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct 1	220	64	
" 2	191	94	2
" 3	228	107	
" 4	255	104	
" 5	228	36	1
" 6	200	31	1
" 7	254	38	
" 8	228	29	
" 9	193	27	
Totals	1,997	530	4
Ward 19.			
Precinct 1	88	191	
" 2	98	163	
" 3	54	338	
" 4	94	229	
" 5	103	166	
" 6	118	202	
" 7	116	225	
" 8	85	214	
Totals	756	1,728	
Ward 25.			
Precinct 1	189	112	
" 2	293	51	
" 3	226	44	
" 4	62	207	
" 5	147	176	
" 6	198	126	
" 7	149	228	
Totals	1,264	944	
Grand totals	4,017	3,202	4

* Elected.

Votes cast, 7,223.
Proportion of men registered who voted, 62.83 per cent.

Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1897.

FIRST DISTRICT.

Ward 1.	JOHN L. BATES,* Rep.	JAMES R. HOBDELL, Dem.	HUGH L. STALKER,* Rep.	ROBERT F. STEWART, Dem.
Precinct 1	193	46	177	44
" 2	230	20	224	14
" 3	290	38	264	44
" 4	246	30	236	27
" 5	214	63	190	67
" 6	102	135	77	133
" 7	154	137	146	137
" 8	144	146	124	143
" 9	92	27	85	26
Totals	1,665	642	1,523	635

SECOND DISTRICT.

Ward 2.	FRANK E. FISHER, Rep.	EDGAR F. KEEN, Rep.	JOHN L. KELLY,* Dem.	JAMES O'CONNOR,* Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct 1	57	52	222	226	
" 2	48	38	184	172	
" 3	84	74	206	185	2
" 4	64	60	197	192	
" 5	47	48	172	168	
" 6	90	88	170	151	
" 7	38	38	242	239	
" 8	59	48	293	304	
Totals	487	446	1,686	1,637	2

* Elected.

Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1897.

THIRD DISTRICT.

Ward 3.	Edward E. Churchill, Rep.	T. Frank Noonan,* Dem.	Louis H. Schuler, Rep.	Peter F. Tague,* Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct 1	185	79	171	64	
" 2	88	221	87	211	
" 3	101	203	89	188	1
" 4	36	305	31	295	
" 5	50	267	46	273	
" 6	24	254	24	246	
Totals	484	1,329	448	1,277	1

FOURTH DISTRICT.

Ward 4.	William E. Mahoney,* Dem.	Jeremiah J. McCarthy,* Rep.	Mark E. Smith, Rep.	Henry L. Wightman, Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct 1	159	197	92	188	
" 2	54	182	174	39	
" 3	77	253	232	64	1
" 4	149	246	148	141	
" 5	289	207	61	186	
" 6	122	160	111	78	
Totals	850	1,245	818	696	1

*Elected.

Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1897.

FIFTH DISTRICT.

Ward 5.	George W. Bliss, Rep.	William J. Miller,* Dem.	Charles W. Phelps, Rep.	Edmund J. Twomey,* Dem.
Precinct 1	167	93	161	93
" 2	127	201	126	200
" 3	38	233	29	240
" 4	75	126	67	132
" 5	75	250	65	267
" 6	73	163	68	165
Totals	555	1,066	516	1,097

SIXTH DISTRICT.

Ward 6.	Arturo de Filippo, Rep.	Daniel J. Kane,* Dem.	Frank J. Lewis, Rep. I. Nom.	Aaron Pinkofsky, Rep.	John A. Rowan,* Dem.	James H. Shannon, Dem. I. Nom.
Precinct 1	29	220	9	29	146	51
" 2	51	185	5	37	166	117
" 3	75	189	15	76	149	105
" 4	78	196	13	70	144	83
" 5	155	89	10	158	71	77
" 6	133	54	36	134	50	17
" 7	87	143	9	116	146	61
" 8	35	166	3	37	209	57
Totals	643	1,242	100	657	1,081	568

* Elected.

Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1897.

SEVENTH DISTRICT.

Ward 7.	Filippo Arigo, Rep. I. Nom.	James H. Beloin, Cit.	Frederick M. Brinnick, Rep.	John J. Falvey, Dem. I. Nom.	William T. A. Fitzgerald,* Dem.	Samuel T. Frothingham, Rep. Cit. Nom.	William Alton Lewis, Rep. Cit. Nom.	Thomas Mackey,* Dem.	John G. Munro, Rep.
Precinct 1...	3	2	93	59	104	23	19	76	80
" 2...	1	1	88	52	109	10	9	150	59
" 3...	3	8	76	83	171	26	21	172	62
" 4...	1	4	126	100	78	27	20	69	56
" 5...	4	2	26	189	226	2	2	192	19
" 6...	5	.....	30	226	197	6	8	191	28
Totals	17	17	439	709	885	94	79	850	304

EIGHTH DISTRICT.

Ward 8.	John F. Connor, Dem. I. Nom.	Henry Gediman, Rep.	George W. Hill, Rep.	Francis J. Horgan,* Dem.	Daniel J. Kiley,* Dem.
Precinct 1.....	23	72	53	351	311
" 2.....	32	128	122	296	262
" 3.....	22	201	217	58	50
" 4.....	34	120	113	286	269
" 5.....	67	146	112	323	295
" 6.....	39	87	88	327	306
Totals.....	217	754	705	1,641	1,493

* Elected.

Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1897.

NINTH DISTRICT.

Ward 9.	Allan H. Farnham, Rep.	John J. Gartland, Jr.,* Dem.	Franz H. Krebs, Jr., Cit. Rep.	David A. Mahoney,* Dem	James F. Ryan, Dem. I. Nom.	Scattering.
Precinct 1	102	202	140	160	54	
" 2	118	212	173	180	47	
" 3	30	286	117	232	69	
" 4	83	189	162	211	42	
" 5	174	93	188	74	31	
" 6	179	57	172	67	19	
" 7	54	227	91	275	36	1
Totals	740	1,266	1,043	1,199	298	1

TENTH DISTRICT.

Ward 10.	Charles S. Clerke,* Rep.	Charles H. Innes,* Rep.	Charles F. Richards, Jr., Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct 1	94	113	102	
" 2	142	162	63	
" 3	166	172	35	
" 4	151	157	52	1
" 5	154	168	20	
" 6	193	218	48	
" 7	271	280	64	
" 8	201	210	69	
" 9	158	165	29	
Totals	1,530	1,645	482	1

*Elected.

Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1897.

ELEVENTH DISTRICT.

Ward 11.	John E. Ansell, Dem.	Matthew A. Fitzgerald, Dem.	Francis C. Lowell,* Rep.	Charles R. Saunders,* Rep.	Joseph Bradley, Ind.
Precinct 1	53	55	214	200	
" 2	85	109	168	157	5
" 3	95	101	208	220	6
" 4	72	86	269	245	
" 5	23	28	249	210	
" 6	23	20	204	172	
" 7	22	25	265	245	
" 8	21	17	247	201	
" 9	26	29	194	151	
Totals	420	470	2,018	1,801	11

TWELFTH DISTRICT.

Ward 12.	John B. Dumond,* Rep.	Alfred S. Hayes,* Ind.	Charles F. Morse, Rep.	John H. Corcoran, Ind. Dem.	Joseph P. Walsh, Ind. Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct 1	256	177	165	2	2	
" 2	259	187	146	4	3	
" 3	143	132	82	48	49	
" 4	225	141	177	9	12	1
" 5	194	95	156	6	5	2
" 6	152	159	125	63	61	
" 7	137	133	112	35	34	
Totals	1,366	1,024	963	167	166	3

* Elected.

Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1897.

THIRTEENTH DISTRICT.

Ward 13.	Hugh W. Bresnahan,* Dem. I. Nom.	James B. Clancy,* Dem.	James T. Mahony, Jr., Dem.	Oscar Peterson, Rep.	Peter M. Peterson, Rep.	Charles Ziemann, Dem. I. Nom.
Precinct 1	147	161	195	7	6	12
" 2	178	211	153	12	8	14
" 3	111	187	195	6	7	8
" 4	147	167	186	17	16	9
" 5	138	189	209	32	24	25
" 6	150	120	139	19	22	18
" 7	227	163	106	8	7	13
" 8	228	128	96	18	15	8
Totals	1,326	1,326	1,279	119	105	107

FOURTEENTH DISTRICT.

Ward 14.	John E. Baldwin,* Dem.	David J. Gleason,* Dem.	Joseph J. Wall, Rep.	Eugene G Whittemore, Rep.
Precinct 1	333	313	87	72
" 2	344	362	46	15
" 3	186	179	97	60
" 4	123	109	162	132
" 5	123	97	194	148
" 6	117	93	208	132
" 7	207	201	150	112
" 8	176	161	164	108
Totals	1,609	1,515	1,108	779

* Elected.

Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1897.

FIFTEENTH DISTRICT.

Ward 15.	William Ashton, Rep.	Charles H. G. Ferguson, Rep.	William Kells, Jr.,* Dem.	John A. McManus,* Dem.
Precinct 1	63	61	104	118
" 2	27	49	187	238
" 3	77	79	174	199
" 4	106	109	153	168
" 5	79	91	182	199
" 6	132	136	126	125
" 7	112	129	164	143
" 8	103	98	193	160
Totals	699	752	1,283	1,350

SIXTEENTH DISTRICT.

Ward 16.	Osgood C. Blaney,* Rep.	Thomas F. Donovan, Dem.	John Drohan, Dem.	Albert W. Lyon,* Rep.	Scattering.
Precinct 1	151	198	125	96	1
" 2	114	185	259	84	
" 3	186	193	239	138	
" 4	245	75	99	240	
" 5	200	102	111	202	
" 6	285	29	45	281	
" 7	156	223	246	176	
Totals	1,337	1,005	1,124	1,217	1

* Elected.

Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1897.

SEVENTEENTH DISTRICT.

Ward 17.	Walter Ballantyne, Rep.	Richard W. Garrity,* Dem.	Charles J. Kidney, Rep.	George H. Norton,* Dem.
Precinct 1	68	196	76	190
" 2	56	162	60	197
" 3	154	60	152	79
" 4	179	131	174	118
" 5	84	168	116	142
" 6	63	229	118	151
" 7	61	218	141	162
" 8	127	241	199	157
" 9	150	96	186	81
Totals	942	1,501	1,222	1,277

EIGHTEENTH DISTRICT.

Ward 18.	Terrance Adams, Rep.	Edgar P. Benjamin, Rep.	Thomas A. Conroy,* Dem.	Michael E. Gaddis,* Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct 1	104	105	214	180	
" 2	131	145	205	171	
" 3	161	177	130	114	
" 4	170	152	175	159	1
" 5	72	79	289	348	
" 6	155	162	185	174	
Totals	793	820	1,198	1,146	1

* Elected.

Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1897,

NINETEENTH DISTRICT.

Ward 19.	John J. Feneno,* Dem.	Oliver S. Grant,* Dem.	William Metzger, Rep.	Robert A. Watson, Rep.
Precinct 1	201	200	78	67
" 2	180	186	80	57
" 3	356	336	42	33
" 4	253	242	75	63
" 5	173	180	80	79
" 6	203	201	100	106
" 7	250	236	78	78
" 8	237	198	98	47
Totals	1,853	1,779	631	530

TWENTIETH DISTRICT.

Ward 20.	Thomas C. Bachelder,* Rep.	John J. O'Neil, Dem.	William J. Power, Dem.	Joseph I. Stewart,* Rep.	Scattering.
Precinct 1	240	138	134	234	1
" 2	226	104	99	224	3
" 3	188	114	116	179	
" 4	125	224	172	127	1
" 5	169	115	121	163	
" 6	258	70	63	273	
" 7	266	73	73	254	
" 8	250	60	53	246	
Totals	1,722	898	831	1,700	5

* Elected.

Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1897.

TWENTY-FIRST DISTRICT.

Ward 21.	Leopold Abraham, Dem.	Richard F. Andrews, Jr.,* Rep.	Edward Seaver, Dem.	William E. Skillings,* Rep.	Scattering.
Precinct 1	66	160	103	147	
" 2	119	176	131	149	
" 3	54	122	65	109	
" 4	73	204	87	192	
" 5	78	209	95	179	
" 6	45	207	54	206	
" 7	102	204	106	185	1
" 8	46	261	57	262	
" 9	57	219	84	180	
Totals	640	1,762	782	1,609	1

TWENTY-SECOND DISTRICT.

Ward 22.	John Bleiler,* Rep.	James A. Desmond, Dem.	Edward C. Kelly, Dem.	Randolph V. King,* Rep.	Scattering.
Precinct 1	232	164	128	192	
" 2	153	189	191	87	
" 3	53	184	195	48	
" 4	183	97	100	192	1
" 5	187	119	118	142	
" 6	199	58	45	189	
" 7	214	79	49	239	2
" 8	126	145	148	139	1
Totals	1,347	1,035	974	1,228	4

* Elected.

Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1897.

TWENTY-THIRD DISTRICT.

Ward 23.	Frank G. Coughlan, Dem.	Frank W. Estey,* Rep.	Thomas F. Lally, Dem.	Lemuel W. Peters,* Rep.
Precinct 1	62	183	93	171
" 2	223	54	269	51
" 3	192	58	241	72
" 4	142	145	156	148
" 5	139	192	137	165
" 6	51	263	48	246
" 7	49	213	49	204
" 8	33	261	35	262
" 9	78	100	66	102
Totals	969	1,469	1,094	1,421

TWENTY-FOURTH DISTRICT.

Ward 24.	Jonathan B. L. Bartlett,* Rep.	Edward B. Callender,* Rep.	Thomas J. Killian, Dem.	Charles F. M. Malley, Dem.
Precinct 1	125	163	104	103
" 2	138	148	98	93
" 3	236	255	69	66
" 4	160	166	90	82
" 5	192	177	48	60
" 6	175	157	87	98
" 7	278	274	74	79
" 8	253	252	90	92
" 9	184	173	80	79
Totals	1,741	1,765	740	752

* Elected.

Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1897.

TWENTY-FIFTH DISTRICT.

Ward 25.	DECLAN D. CORCORAN, Dem.	FREDERICK HAMMOND,* Rep.	LEONARD W. ROSS,* Rep.	GEORGE H. WENTWORTH, Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct 1	102	174	163	102	
" 2	51	276	249	50	
" 3	37	219	198	48	
" 4	199	56	51	200	
" 5	177	123	128	172	1
" 6	142	164	149	153	1
" 7	216	119	127	236	
Totals	924	1,131	1,065	961	2

* Elected.

Votes cast for Register of Deeds for Suffolk County, State Election, 1897.

Wards.	TEMPLE. *	Scattering.
1	2,017	
2	1,718	
3	1,547	
4	1,379	
5	1,340	2
6	1,516	
7	1,238	
8	1,918	1
9	1,755	
10	1,865	
11	2,345	1
12	1,907	2
13	1,605	1
14	2,075	
15	1,772	
16	2,075	1
17	2,056	1
18	1,686	
19	1,941	1
20	2,524	1
21	2,391	1
22	2,104	
23	2,300	1
24	2,301	1
25	1,806	
Totals	47,181	14

* Elected.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 49.71 per cent.

The balance of this district is composed of Chelsea, Revere and Winthrop.

Votes cast on the question: Shall an act passed by the general court in the year eighteen hundred and ninety-seven, entitled "An Act to consolidate the board of aldermen and the common council and to reorganize the city government of the City of Boston," be accepted? — State Election, 1897.

Wards.	Yes.	No.
1	936	1,379
2	615	1,524
3	667	1,138
4	689	1,015
5	695	908
6	811	1,312
7	582	1,054
8	1,542	998
9	896	1,281
10	1,137	926
11	1,552	978
12	1,108	1,059
13	559	1,673
14	843	1,623
15	673	1,393
16	1,019	1,341
17	929	1,583
18	895	1,178
19	1,004	1,450
20	1,396	1,311
21	1,467	1,131
22	1,316	1,150
23	1,448	1,127
24	1,160	1,438
25	967	1,135
Totals	24,906	31,105

Registered voters, 94,934. Votes cast, 56,011.
Proportion of men registered who voted, 59 per cent.

Number of Men Registered who Voted.

(AS PER WARD OFFICERS' RETURNS OF NAMES CHECKED ON VOTING LIST) AT STATE ELECTION, 1897.

Wards.	PRECINCTS.									Number of names checked.	Number registered.	Per cent. registered who voted.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.			
1	258	270	352	307	304	275	329	328	134	2,557	4,043	63.24
2	328	254	322	296	259	292	319	412	....	2,482	3,710	66.90
3	295	341	325	387	357	301	....	....	....	2,006	2,944	68.14
4	365	260	359	384	435	271	....	....	....	2,074	2,745	75.56
5	289	370	314	231	377	263	....	....	....	1,844	2,761	66.79
6	300	348	374	370	350	251	344	305	....	2,642	3,760	70.27
7	271	287	359	315	410	440	....	....	....	2,082	2,974	70.01
8	487	497	322	501	555	486	....	....	....	2,848	3,889	73.23
9	383	427	414	407	338	296	396	....	....	2,661	3,775	70.49
10	230	236	219	215	214	262	370	288	205	2,239	4,116	54.40
11	311	339	355	390	278	243	307	270	229	2,722	3,940	69.09
12	375	364	292	364	296	407	331	....	....	2,429	3,751	64.76
13	327	359	303	338	363	298	341	344	....	2,673	3,654	73.15
14	440	438	285	296	320	308	373	347	....	2,807	4,111	68.28
15	202	301	304	306	311	290	320	316	....	2,350	3,528	66.61
16	335	368	439	375	348	352	480	....	....	2,697	3,757	71.79
17	326	281	254	343	291	336	326	415	300	2,872	4,077	70.44
18	370	391	349	402	484	412	....	....	....	2,408	3,704	65.01
19	325	298	440	358	296	346	379	347	....	2,789	4,163	66.99
20	425	377	341	394	318	371	372	342	....	2,940	4,830	60.87
21	289	341	207	320	326	293	344	356	314	2,790	4,462	62.53
22	423	385	283	353	351	281	338	348	....	2,762	4,259	64.85
23	286	350	333	350	375	354	295	326	208	2,877	3,956	72.72
24	308	280	350	287	267	297	396	394	304	2,883	4,632	62.24
25	323	361	282	304	355	366	411	....	....	2,402	3,393	70.79
Totals										63,836	94,934	

Average per cent. of men registered who voted, 67.24.

MUNICIPAL ELECTION,

1897.

Assessed Polls, Municipal Election, 1897.

Wards.	PRECINCTS.									Totals.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	
1	597	598	790	733	784	852	909	792	358	6,413
2	829	728	842	729	685	757	882	1 097	....	6,549
3	606	733	626	843	790	664	.....	.....	....	4.262
4	671	631	706	740	756	684	.....	.....	....	4,188
5	687	728	815	600	937	754	.....	.....	....	4,521
6	963	1,005	1,188	1,351	1,299	647	1,295	1,012	....	8,760
7	739	986	1,146	1,158	1,034	1,053	.....	.....	..	6 116
8	1,245	1,716	1,073	1,295	1,382	1,358	.....	.....	...	8,069
9	1,463	1,404	1,239	1,203	1,162	900	922	.....	..	8,293
10	956	876	856	814	784	777	1,094	987	621	7,765
11	861	1,062	877	814	472	463	570	469	457	6,045
12	866	759	757	1,021	911	1,242	853	.....	...	6,409
13	1,164	1,198	1,168	954	952	776	886	952	....	8,050
14	1,104	920	577	564	718	660	751	833	....	6,127
15	599	766	712	631	614	600	713	688	....	5,323
16	724	850	840	798	722	634	893	.....	....	5,461
17	998	713	623	766	719	787	811	1,019	589	7,025
18	1,052	1,325	1,273	1,282	1,259	1,161	.....	.....	....	7,352
19	872	1,016	1,035	946	764	840	821	819	....	7,113
20	1,013	860	740	875	901	890	920	875	....	7,074
21	777	756	465	671	766	600	809	812	731	6,387
22	1,089	984	757	936	969	588	709	821	....	6,853
23	474	667	713	791	797	747	466	630	407	5,692
24	794	679	890	607	622	647	857	1,023	686	6,805
25	911	851	583	746	608	841	747	.....	...	5,287
Total...	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	....	161,939

Registered Voters (Men), Municipal Election, 1897.

Wards.	PRECINCTS.									Totals.	Per cent. Assessed Polls registered by Wards.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		
1	401	429	571	514	529	482	616	588	205	4,335	67.60
2	540	456	543	493	430	493	584	676	....	4,215	64.36
3	446	536	483	565	571	470	....	....	....	3,071	72.05
4	496	406	493	532	554	397	....	....	....	2,878	68.72
5	469	543	511	382	586	451	....	....	....	2,942	65.07
6	468	528	623	660	669	413	591	451	....	4,403	50.26
7	439	456	556	500	571	596	....	....	....	3,118	50.98
8	624	805	565	722	737	752	....	....	....	4,205	52.11
9	727	690	618	629	565	458	568	....	....	4,255	51.31
10	494	482	425	456	451	485	688	612	393	4,486	57.77
11	496	601	568	574	384	343	446	378	352	4,142	68.52
12	590	567	456	628	543	693	525	....	....	4,002	62.44
13	582	671	577	553	545	443	542	559	....	4,472	55.55
14	726	639	473	433	502	464	568	592	....	4,397	71.76
15	341	502	498	471	502	453	525	498	....	3,790	71.20
16	496	570	607	580	515	500	677	....	....	3,945	72.24
17	531	442	425	519	456	472	501	646	448	4,440	63.20
18	602	676	610	717	732	699	....	....	....	4,036	54.90
19	548	530	680	591	470	566	606	535	....	4,526	63.63
20	707	646	546	600	655	643	660	604	....	5,061	71.54
21	517	537	358	513	523	463	609	623	546	4,689	73.41
22	731	585	503	604	577	431	515	509	....	4,455	65.04
23	355	458	498	536	571	545	381	468	294	4,106	72.14
24	516	476	604	479	461	474	624	721	503	4,858	71 39
25	597	552	440	482	491	534	550	....	....	3,646	68.96
Total....	....	....	....	...	....	....	....	....	....	102,473	

Average per cent. of men assessed who registered, 63.28.

Votes cast for Aldermen, Municipal Election, 1897.

Wards.	William Berwin,* Rep.	Salem D. Charles,* Dem.	Michael H. Cleary,* Dem.	Franklin L. Codman,* Rep.	John H. Colby, Rep.	Joseph A. Conry,* Dem.	Edward W. Dixon,* Dem.	Perlie A. Dyar,* Rep.	Leon Greenman, Soc. Lab.	Conrad Kersten, Soc. Lab.	William H. Lott,* Rep.
1	2,062	1,225	1,134	2,066	1,956	1,560	1,163	2,016	26	23	1,966
2	804	2,231	2,240	806	745	2,464	2,247	810	64	44	764
3	738	1,513	1,439	743	718	1,481	1,436	759	31	35	714
4	925	1,134	1,087	916	900	1,135	1,083	918	38	36	887
5	740	1,224	1,171	728	720	1,215	1,206	733	32	23	721
6	900	1,729	1,697	795	734	1,724	2,238	791	76	63	719
7	742	1,296	1,259	747	719	1,155	1,110	809	55	46	719
8	1,147	1,942	1,845	1,027	977	1,885	1,758	1,014	135	118	947
9	1,080	1,749	1,693	984	950	1,715	1,652	1,033	110	103	972
10	2,264	777	619	2 284	2,196	688	622	2,284	54	54	2,192
11	2,070	937	704	2,189	2,157	774	719	2,050	32	29	1,980
12	1,795	1,097	977	1,767	1,790	1,003	956	1,732	51	56	1,726
13	374	2,204	2,098	396	347	2,244	2,052	394	69	67	393
14	1,129	1,853	1,749	1,184	1,104	1,876	1,734	1,180	49	47	1,201
15	947	1,556	1,470	976	929	1,555	1,413	979	69	71	995
16	1,544	1,328	1,163	1,599	1,515	1,326	1,157	1,584	67	65	1,897
17	1,261	2,079	1,983	1,262	1,203	2,056	1,893	1,294	67	69	1,292
18	1,071	1,558	1,594	1,061	1,009	1,548	1,442	1,074	94	99	1,023
19	979	2,280	2,430	966	927	2,232	2,067	1,017	157	109	942
20	2,307	1,485	1,275	2,514	2,331	1,379	1,319	2,355	42	35	2,417
21	2,460	1,128	943	2,457	2,399	1,019	956	2,448	77	103	2,393
22	1,660	1,876	1,401	1,684	1,618	1,405	1,333	1,687	137	106	1,641
23	1,807	1,647	1,256	1,850	1,787	1,313	1,221	1,811	89	75	1,791
24	2 366	1,383	1,194	2,647	2,336	1,302	1,204	2,389	46	42	2,389
25	1,619	1,276	1,147	1,642	1,584	1,201	1,129	1,702	35	35	1,546
Tot'ls	34,791	38,507	35,568	35,290	33,651	37,255	35,110	34,863	1,702	1,553	34,227

* Elected.

Votes cast for Aldermen, Municipal Election, 1897.

Joseph J. Norton,* Dem.	James R. Nugent, Soc. Lab.	Frank J. O'Toole,* Dem.	Patrick J. O'Toole, Dem.	Milton C. Paige,* Rep.	Edward W. Presho,* Rep.	Henry Stammerjohann, Soc. Lab.	James F. Stevens, Soc. Lab.	Albert Stieg, Soc. Lab.	Henry C. Wehner, Soc. Lab.	Scattering.	Wards.
1,132	36	1,092	1,094	1,997	2,008	13	24	13	19		1
2,164	74	2,212	2,163	762	840	33	55	35	24		2
1,416	135	1,386	1,354	769	1,202	21	33	24	22	2	3
1,060	51	1,032	1,012	920	1,242	24	33	21	23	1	4
1,158	60	1,111	1,049	758	1,225	26	35	22	23		5
1,648	118	1,597	1,588	743	895	44	59	41	39		6
1,141	111	1,117	1,124	794	764	41	60	42	37		7
1,781	128	1,786	1,716	973	1,020	79	119	72	79		8
1,668	121	1,623	1,630	1,022	999	74	89	71	73		9
618	60	592	545	2,207	2,181	35	52	36	41		10
723	34	649	639	2,030	2,028	30	33	23	24		11
965	61	913	889	1,737	1,711	38	54	45	50		12
2,361	114	2,165	2,495	960	635	50	71	53	48		13
2,174	82	1,728	1,824	1,826	1,281	41	44	48	26	1	14
1,809	88	1,427	1,544	1,496	1,103	74	63	74	59		15
1,296	80	1,199	1,197	1694	1,584	58	60	59	53		16
1,962	81	1,966	1,889	1,261	1,285	44	54	37	41	3	17
1,469	121	1,810	1,488	1,017	1,045	88	95	78	88		18
2,087	157	2,190	2,075	917	943	103	93	95	100		19
1,355	57	1,262	1,224	2,367	2,358	23	51	25	27		20
921	68	924	859	2,442	2,436	31	38	28	34	2	21
1,334	98	1,328	1,272	1,663	1,698	86	88	80	95	4	22
1,239	80	1,226	1,187	1,781	1,783	61	74	52	60		23
1,245	59	1,215	1,179	2,354	2,361	41	39	30	32		24
1,195	60	1,122	1,113	1,574	1,622	23	22	14	17		25
35,921	2,134	34,672	34,149	36,064	36,249	1,181	1,438	1,118	1,134	13	

* Elected.

Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal Election, 1897.

Ward 1.	Charles I. Albee,* Rep.	A. Dudley Bagley,* Rep.	John A. Brant, Dem.	Daniel A. McDonald, Dem.	Collingwood C. Millar,* Rep.	George F. Murphy, Dem.
Precinct 1	224	222	73	65	217	69
" 2	299	292	32	27	287	32
" 3	364	345	69	57	352	58
" 4	330	317	63	51	311	51
" 5	249	252	131	113	226	114
" 6	107	109	240	227	98	203
" 7	233	255	211	195	209	196
" 8	187	276	225	208	178	197
" 9	115	120	41	37	124	42
Totals	2,108	2,188	1,085	980	2,002	962

Ward 2.	Gilbert W. Carpenter, Rep.	William J. Cronin,* Dem.	James H. Donovan,* Dem.	Joseph F. Hickey,* Dem.	Herbert B. Hobbs, Rep.	Charles West, Rep.
Precinct 1	90	315	326	335	79	74
" 2	79	245	293	259	68	70
" 3	128	281	279	285	114	121
" 4	89	273	269	277	92	69
" 5	65	263	265	281	60	57
" 6	102	221	227	252	91	93
" 7	63	361	359	397	52	54
" 8	104	375	401	392	107	105
Totals	720	2,334	2,419	2,478	663	643

* Elected.

Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal Election, 1897.

Ward 3.	William F. Harrington,* Dem.	Charles A. Horrigan,* Dem.	Ernest Howard, Rep.	George B. Mendum, Rep.	Edward L. Nelson, Rep.	John I. Toland,* Dem.
Precinct 1	92	88	241	237	251	84
" 2	271	263	127	127	147	273
" 3	230	215	139	134	148	229
" 4	377	358	37	40	46	379
" 5	338	331	62	60	68	329
" 6	332	314	26	21	26	329
Totals	1,640	1,569	632	619	686	1,623

Ward 4.	John F. Desmond,* Dem.	George A. Garland, Rep.	Charles O. Shute, Rep.	John P. Sullivan,* Dem.	Henry B. Taylor, Rep.	Joseph A. Turnbull,* Dem.
Precinct 1	260	119	108	256	95	261
" 2	76	211	202	78	187	77
" 3	133	245	235	122	207	137
" 4	234	152	148	235	175	247
" 5	382	71	66	363	72	355
" 6	144	112	112	154	138	133
Totals	1,229	910	871	1,208	874	1,210

*Elected.

Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal Election, 1897.

Ward 5.	William E. Bennett,* Dem.	Dennis J. Falvey,* Dem.	Merton E. Jenkins, Rep.	William A. Kibble, Rep.	Edward H. Madden,* Dem.	Charles W. Phelps, Rep.
Precinct 1	98	93	213	205	102	211
" 2	248	242	146	143	255	147
" 3	346	315	32	31	368	31
" 4	167	169	94	88	166	89
" 5	295	323	99	96	299	93
" 6	207	208	95	86	197	91
Totals	1,361	1,350	679	649	1,387	662

Ward 6.	W. Howard Archer, Rep.	Cyrus K. Babb, Rep.	Joseph Barron, Rep.	Samuel H. Borofsky,* Dem.	Michael J. Donovan,* Dem.	Michael J. McColgan,* Dem.
Precinct 1	55	24	25	198	267	236
" 2	64	30	54	246	294	309
" 3	106	60	83	232	315	272
" 4	124	84	89	164	263	207
" 5	169	125	146	195	203	181
" 6	242	224	214	63	76	70
" 7	84	62	98	271	227	252
" 8	25	16	27	190	244	298
Totals	869	625	736	1,559	1,889	1,825

* Elected.

Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal Election, 1897.

Ward 7.	Michael T. Callahan,* Dem.	Daniel J. Donnelly,* Dem.	John L. Donovan,* Dem.	Michael J. Maloney, Rep.	Fred L. McLaughlin, Jr., Rep.	John Wilfert, Rep.
Precinct 1	138	137	134	124	155	131
" 2	147	135	129	102	128	146
" 3	216	206	201	146	159	139
" 4	105	95	95	161	159	158
" 5	312	305	304	44	74	50
" 6	253	307	330	60	134	53
Totals	1,171	1,185	1,193	637	809	677

Ward 8.	William A. Bates, Rep., Cit. Nom.	Maurice Clancey, Dem., Ind. Nom.	Benjamin Clark, Rep., Cit. Nom.	William H. Cuddy,* Dem.	Charles C. Egerton, Rep.	James F. Finnigan, Dem., Ind. Nom.	Michael F. Hart,* Dem.	George H. McQuillan, Dem., Ind. Nom.	Curtis G. Metzler, Rep.	Louis Novick, Rep.	Louis Sonnabend,* Dem.
Precinct 1	10	85	9	319	40	133	319	78	55	89	300
" 2	45	127	34	244	137	183	252	120	112	132	227
" 3	115	37	89	72	251	33	75	38	184	145	61
" 4	32	107	21	270	89	183	286	118	76	124	244
" 5	24	136	21	253	96	189	262	152	89	157	260
" 6	27	126	26	330	61	211	299	132	56	73	238
Totals.	253	618	200	1,488	674	932	1,493	638	572	720	1,330

* Elected.

Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal Election, 1897.

Ward 9.	Frank H. Cowin,* Dem.	Thomas E. Gaskill, Rep.	Samuel Kasanof,* Dem.	Michael Leonard,* Dem.	Canning E. Marden, Rep.	Maurice J. McCarthy, Dem., Ind. Nom.	Robert E. Powers, Rep.
Precinct 1	247	132	278	215	124	92	106
" 2	252	133	217	250	126	128	130
" 3	304	48	260	264	36	151	54
" 4	229	105	174	224	95	168	121
" 5	112	220	104	122	194	76	198
" 6	84	202	69	100	198	46	193
" 7	310	62	192	342	62	109	63
Totals	1,538	902	1,294	1,517	835	770	865

Ward 10.	Charles A. Atkins,* Rep.	John H. Griffin, Dem.	Thomas M. Hefferman, Dem.	Michael W. Myers, Dem.	Walter E. Nichols,* Rep.	David R. Robinson,* Rep.
Precinct 1	147	147	122	112	141	154
" 2	199	103	89	94	185	174
" 3	235	54	44	44	230	219
" 4	246	46	45	42	248	237
" 5	232	34	26	24	249	251
" 6	267	58	53	52	279	253
" 7	391	83	68	67	393	371
" 8	326	99	90	80	300	285
" 9	212	56	47	46	206	202
Totals	2,255	680	584	561	2,231	2,146

* Elected.

Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal Election, 1897.

Ward 11.	EDWARD A. ARMISTEAD,* Rep.	WILLIAM A. L. BAZELEY, Dem.	JAMES J. KENNEY, Dem.	WILLIAM S. B. STEVENS,* Rep.	GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM,* Rep.	GEORGE W. WASHINGTON, Dem.
Precinct 1	228	96	96	234	237	84
" 2	216	149	161	192	190	169
" 3	228	165	152	252	263	138
" 4	217	188	159	275	243	120
" 5	214	86	36	257	250	27
" 6	201	44	30	223	215	25
" 7	256	62	32	286	287	27
" 8	194	71	23	229	231	25
" 9	198	50	27	219	223	33
Totals	1,952	911	716	2,167	2,139	648

Ward 12.	DAVID B. CHAMBERLAIN,* Rep.	JOHN H. CORCORAN, Dem.	JAMES F. QUINN, Dem.	EDWARD P. SANDS,* Rep.	JOSEPH P. WALSH, Dem.	ARTHUR G. WOOD,* Rep.
Precinct 1	325	62	58	319	60	320
" 2	339	60	55	337	72	347
" 3	199	115	130	177	119	184
" 4	316	128	127	315	140	313
" 5	268	96	92	265	89	262
" 6	206	281	282	209	278	200
" 7	151	225	211	150	232	145
Totals	1,804	967	955	1,772	990	1,771

* Elected.

Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal Election, 1897.

Ward 13.	Thomas J. Collins,* Dem.	William H. Donlon, Dem., Ind. Nom.	Samuel P. Fash, Rep.	Michael J. Lydon,* Dem.	Michael W. Norris,* Dem.	Frederick M. Vance, Rep.	Joseph Warburton, Rep.
Precinct 1	304	84	22	306	295	19	16
" 2	329	59	38	358	390	32	32
" 3	284	63	25	329	297	16	14
" 4	280	75	39	297	306	33	36
" 5	294	98	37	285	275	50	39
" 6	210	58	45	209	228	45	43
" 7	284	65	27	278	282	28	27
" 8	315	60	28	278	~~28~~ 278	~~278~~ 28	30
Totals	2,300	562	261	2,340	~~2,101~~ 2351	~~501~~ 251	237

Ward 14.	John H. Dunn,* Dem.	William P. Hickey,* Dem.	Herbert G. Merrill, Rep.	James F. Mulcahy,* Dem.	Isaac C. Ogden, Rep.	Harrison B. Payne, Rep.
Precinct 1	409	407	127	396	121	116
" 2	439	438	24	424	24	25
" 3	253	256	118	242	102	98
" 4	149	139	184	145	173	178
" 5	175	168	186	156	185	188
" 6	168	149	197	134	213	191
" 7	257	241	156	240	146	155
" 8	282	246	162	242	169	150
Totals.....	2,132	2,044	1,154	1,979	1,133	1,101

* Elected.

Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal Election, 1897.

Ward 15.	George Defren, Rep.	John D. Fenton,* Dem.	Robert W. Foulsham, Rep.	Horace N. Hatch, Rep.	William Martin,* Dem.	Daniel V. McIsaac,* Dem.
Precinct 1.....	77	193	67	80	144	158
" 2.....	67	299	42	61	290	272
" 3.....	91	254	84	110	259	250
" 4.....	127	205	114	140	216	202
" 5.....	123	241	104	129	220	229
" 6.....	170	158	147	168	162	152
" 7.....	192	182	130	170	218	155
" 8.....	134	210	106	131	240	202
Totals.....	981	1,742	794	989	1,749	1,620

Ward 16.	Lawrence J. Earle, Dem.	Charles E. Eddy,* Rep.	Frederick W. Farrell,* Rep.	Richard H. Foley, Dem.	Arthur P. Russell,* Rep.	Michael I. Tully, Dem.
Precinct 1.....	227	134	172	172	138	156
" 2.....	262	121	180	267	138	204
" 3.....	243	194	251	239	195	196
" 4.....	128	311	302	112	323	103
" 5.....	125	251	242	120	260	121
" 6.....	60	335	343	37	361	42
" 7.....	286	201	198	287	234	319
Totals.....	1,331	1,547	1,688	1,234	1,649	1,141

*Elected.

Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal Election, 1897.

Ward 17.	Patrick H. Brennan,* Dem.	Timothy L. Connolly,* Dem.	Amos J. Gordon, Rep.	John P. Lanergan,* Dem.	Joseph Lundy, Rep.	Edward B. Swett, Rep.
Precinct 1	286	314	67	301	85	73
" 2	237	244	94	242	82	78
" 3	120	122	205	120	186	188
" 4	179	192	215	171	193	197
" 5	232	233	105	227	118	97
" 6	267	267	48	315	104	59
" 7	270	246	81	275	113	74
" 8	330	305	158	313	168	174
" 9	155	139	193	139	194	197
Totals	2,076	2,062	1,166	2,103	1,243	1,137

Ward 18.	Warren Brooks, Rep.	James J. Casey,* Dem.	John J. Curley,* Dem.	William J. Johnstone, Rep.	Frank E. Totman, Rep.	James A. Watson,* Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct 1	195	279	272	114	108	206	1
" 2	180	237	236	170	157	239	
" 3	246	146	139	228	116	130	
" 4	266	246	244	220	212	222	
" 5	194	377	388	74	72	351	
" 6	232	233	251	211	187	261	
Totals	1,313	1,518	1,530	1,017	852	1,409	1

* Elected.

Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal Election 1897.

Ward 19.	Michael T. Atiridge,* Dem.	Herman Birkenmaier, Rep.	John J. Flanagan,* Dem.	Charles P. Nangle,* Dem.	John F. Pfau, Rep.	Job H. Wells, Rep.
Precinct 1	267	164	231	226	124	102
" 2	217	122	218	218	120	116
" 3	418	94	417	378	68	61
" 4	330	124	312	309	96	93
" 5	202	132	198	197	127	130
" 6	269	148	269	259	150	142
" 7	319	127	327	296	127	126
" 8	301	105	279	268	110	87
Totals	2,323	1,016	2,251	2,151	922	857

Ward 20.	Wilbur F. Adams,* Rep.	James H. Baldwin, Dem.	Edwin D. Bell,* Rep.	David L. Dannahy, Dem.	Louis T. Howard,* Rep.	Martin J. Mattimoe, Dem.
Precinct 1	322	201	300	180	311	185
" 2	304	187	304	166	298	184
" 3	233	162	232	160	226	171
" 4	164	288	159	291	154	298
" 5	272	192	260	190	262	178
" 6	387	108	361	105	376	101
" 7	349	134	363	126	338	113
" 8	330	106	359	97	318	87
Totals	2,361	1,378	2,338	1,315	2,283	1,317

* Elected.

Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal Election, 1897.

Ward 21.	John F. Currier, Dem.	Samuel C. Jones,* Rep.	Frederick W. Klemm,* Rep.	Alfred New-march,* Rep.	Thomas W. Norton, Dem.	Edward J. Shaughnessy, Dem.
Precinct 1	111	245	235	236	109	97
" 2	173	224	221	218	163	164
" 3	86	189	180	178	83	79
" 4	96	263	263	271	97	89
" 5	116	258	255	263	120	121
" 6	63	297	299	299	62	52
" 7	165	278	272	277	157	153
" 8	95	379	368	371	90	85
" 9	116	288	280	290	111	106
Totals	1,021	2,421	2,373	2,403	992	946

Ward 22.	Charles F. Adams,* Rep.	John M. Brauneis, Dem.	George B. Cook, Dem.	Thomas F. Donnelly, Dem.	Paul F. Folsom,* Rep.	Abram Jordan,* Rep.
Precinct 1	321	220	216	187	316	307
" 2	108	276	249	266	108	111
" 3	80	269	268	276	74	71
" 4	259	176	154	146	250	258
" 5	174	210	181	168	169	167
" 6	255	68	57	44	254	243
" 7	313	71	76	77	305	293
" 8	179	195	197	199	184	183
Totals	1,689	1,485	1,398	1,363	1,660	1,633

* Elected.

Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal Election, 1897.

Ward 23.	James F. Bigelow, Dem.	Sewall C. Brackett, Cit. Union Dem.	Andrew Brauer,* Rep.	Charles W. Dennis,* Rep.	William M. Macdonald, Dem.	Konrad Young,* Rep.
Precinct 1.....	104	237	142	174	87	121
" 2.....	282	274	70	91	273	71
" 3.....	289	266	92	101	264	84
" 4.....	197	217	174	181	193	171
" 5.....	156	194	222	217	167	250
" 6.....	58	103	331	308	64	333
" 7.....	52	88	233	235	50	235
" 8.....	56	116	238	287	43	257
" 9.....	96	108	115	135	103	118
Totals.....	1,290	1,603	1,617	1,729	1,244	1,640

Ward 24.	John Devine, Dem.	Edmund J. Hardy, Dem.	William E. Harvey,* Rep.	Willard W. Hibbard,* Rep.	Thomas H. Meade, Dem.	Harry B. Whall,* Rep.	Scattering.
Precinct 1...	202	197	180	178	200	167	
" 2...	161	174	187	186	158	185	
" 3...	137	122	313	300	146	307	
" 4...	125	140	240	242	141	236	
" 5...	84	83	290	292	99	276	
" 6...	141	138	226	226	141	224	
" 7...	128	112	341	336	139	342	
" 8 ..	156	135	383	351	137	336	1
" 9...	134	133	256	251	131	246	
Totals	1,268	1,234	2,416	2,362	1,292	2,319	1

* Elected.

Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal Election, 1897.

Ward 25.	Austin Bigelow,* Rep.	Thomas M. Roddy, Dem.	Clarence W. Sanderson,* Rep.	Jeremiah F. Sullivan, Dem.	Edward A. Warren, Dem.	William D. Wheeler,* Rep.
Precinct 1	261	138	252	149	195	283
" 2	343	63	325	64	65	348
" 3	286	46	278	48	53	283
" 4	90	294	87	287	276	85
" 5	181	207	174	238	215	166
" 6	280	143	265	173	165	259
" 7	172	255	167	295	285	156
Totals	1,613	1,146	1,548	1,254	1,254	1,580

* Elected.

Votes cast for Street Commissioner, Municipal Election, 1897.

Wards.	CRAM, Rep.	DORE,* Dem.	SHAUGHNESSY, Soc. Lab.	Scattering.
1	2,089	1,201	33	
2	982	2,128	46	
3	735	1,615	41	
4	910	1,195	36	
5	757	1,343	45	
6	965	1,853	61	
7	747	1,323	72	
8	1,038	1,936	116	
9	1,050	1,764	103	
10	1,893	1,141	33	
11	1,385	1,654	26	
12	1,482	1,355	51	
13	498	2,456	127	
14	1,215	2,086	68	
15	900	1,856	78	
16	1,494	1,517	56	
17	1,300	2,059	75	
18	1,088	1,654	87	1
19	925	2,388	121	
20	1,933	1,900	39	
21	1,989	1,544	40	
22	1,519	1,663	94	
23	1,600	1,594	58	
24	2,008	1,775	34	
25	1,212	1,714	23	
Totals	31,714	42,714	1,563	1

* Elected.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 74.16 per cent.

Number of Men Registered who Voted

(AS PER WARD OFFICERS' RETURNS OF NAMES CHECKED ON VOTING LISTS) AT MUNICIPAL ELECTION, 1897.

Wards.	PRECINCTS.									Number of names checked.	Number registered.	Per cent registered who voted.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.			
1	316	349	459	419	402	399	494	505	178	3,521	4,335	81.22
2	463	366	457	397	370	373	488	568	....	3,482	4,215	82.61
3	366	442	408	472	453	392	....	....	....	2,533	3,071	82.48
4	405	296	404	439	483	309	....	....	....	2,336	2,878	81.17
5	348	452	441	301	474	331	....	....	....	2,347	2,942	79.78
6	370	432	480	444	469	325	463	374	....	3,357	4,403	76.24
7	321	316	414	325	444	474	....	....	....	2,294	3,118	73.57
8	538	610	404	589	636	600	....	....	....	3,377	4,205	80.31
9	534	509	491	477	394	329	469	....	....	3,203	4,255	75.28
10	357	337	308	322	318	358	503	430	291	3,224	4,486	71.87
11	374	441	433	456	312	271	353	293	276	3,209	4,142	77.47
12	418	429	340	480	380	545	415	....	...	3,007	4,002	75.14
13	438	521	436	437	439	353	415	421	....	3,460	4,472	77.37
14	590	537	397	365	400	390	451	468	....	3,598	4,397	81.83
15	277	388	391	376	387	353	414	393	....	2,979	3,790	78.60
16	389	447	510	467	407	414	573	....	....	3,207	3,945	81.29
17	431	358	347	416	371	409	410	538	370	3,650	4,440	82.21
18	471	493	435	545	611	537	....	....	....	3,092	4,036	76.61
19	452	409	581	484	374	470	497	454	....	3,721	4,526	82.21
20	545	524	431	504	482	502	511	476	....	3,975	5,061	78.54
21	382	426	289	396	419	382	470	492	419	3,675	4,689	78.37
22	571	458	400	464	419	327	402	422	....	3,463	4,455	77.73
23	323	400	424	430	453	435	328	364	247	3,404	4,106	82.90
24	423	387	480	400	401	409	505	541	422	3,968	4,858	81.68
25	473	434	351	421	436	468	493	....	....	3,076	3,646	84.37
Totals.....										81,158	102,473	

Average per cent. of men registered who voted, 79.20.

Votes cast (Men and Women) for School Committee, Municipal Election, 1897,

Wards.	EDWARD I. ALDRICH,* Com. 100, I. W., Rep.	GEORGE W. ANDERSON,* Dem.	MARTHA MOORE AVERY, Soc. Lab.	CHARLES L. BURRILL,* Com. 100, I. W., Rep.	SAMUEL H. CALDERWOOD,* Com. 100, I. W., Rep.	SAMUEL E. COURTNEY,* Com. 100, I. W., Rep.	ARCHIBALD T. DAVISON,* Com. 100, I. W., Rep.	FREDERICK C. DOWD, Rep.	WILLIAM J. GALLIVAN,* Dem.
1	2,268	1,193	164	2,312	2,216	2,265	2,215	1,561	1,209
2	874	2,147	231	837	812	811	778	640	2,191
3	784	1,596	211	796	802	791	776	525	1,611
4	996	1,145	189	976	959	972	944	707	1,189
5	793	1,295	173	773	759	764	738	533	1,343
6	755	1,790	245	753	720	746	700	679	1,812
7	719	1,188	253	719	703	698	688	556	1,231
8	1,031	1,881	347	1,012	979	988	939	837	1,931
9	1,038	1,660	396	1,031	980	1,001	983	785	1,687
10	2,397	703	217	2,405	2,284	2,334	2,228	1,584	687
11	2,144	848	128	2,302	2,079	2,277	1,984	1,151	775
12	1,924	1,023	204	1,957	1,821	1,918	1,758	1,240	1,059
13	290	2,248	516	308	293	313	309	341	2,845
14	1,471	1,903	364	1,468	1,430	1,404	1,447	819	2,287
15	1,138	1,679	412	1,153	1,113	1,127	1,121	729	1,920
16	1,712	1,326	224	1,718	1,672	1,689	1,664	1,137	1,389
17	1,233	2,051	324	1,252	1,203	1,254	1,175	972	2,113
18	1,126	1,509	351	1,123	1,105	1,125	1,056	946	1,575
19	962	2,308	389	980	961	975	932	843	2,314
20	2,636	1,424	210	2,682	2,576	2,621	2,559	1,543	1,447
21	2,761	1,098	183	2,501	2,710	2,756	2,618	1,586	1,063
22	1,795	1,485	325	1,791	1,791	1,755	1,702	1,214	1,454
23	1,993	1,338	243	2,021	1,943	1,995	1,906	1,236	1,314
24	2,625	1,342	229	2,622	2,575	2,606	2,541	1,617	1,358
25	1,712	1,213	152	1,681	1,647	1,659	1,566	1,045	1,261
Totals.....	37,177	37,393	6,680	37,173	36,133	36,844	35,327	24,826	39,065

* Elected.

Votes cast (Men and Women) for School Committee, Municipal Election, 1897. *Concluded.*

Wards.	Mary M. Kehew, Com. 100, I. W.	Fred J. Kneeland, Dem.	Gustav Liebmann, Dem., Rep.	Ignatius S. McDonough, Dem.	William F. Merritt, Dem.	J. Carlton Nichols, Com. 100, I. W., Rep.	William T. Sedgwick, Com. 100, I. W.	Thomas F. Strange,* Dem.	Albert Walkley, Dem.	Scattering.
1	958	1,111	1,568	1,095	1,070	2,118	979	1,166	1,091	....
2	366	2,139	1,084	2,088	2,035	726	318	2,093	2,025	....
3	454	1,506	915	1,535	1,504	731	472	1,546	1,490	....
4	493	1,092	825	1,107	1,059	913	492	1,130	1,065	1
5	389	1,221	785	1,233	1,218	809	405	1,260	1,209	1
6	289	1,667	986	1,672	1,346	662	272	1,667	1,553	....
7	442	1,159	746	1,149	1,039	631	324	1,171	1,076	....
8	408	1,785	1,071	1,789	1,756	884	441	1,797	1,735	1
9	493	1,631	1,024	1,589	1,535	924	452	1,598	1,538	....
10	1,203	574	1,461	555	565	2,123	1,236	625	591	....
11	1,592	598	1,321	575	587	1,890	1,587	654	681	....
12	936	948	1,338	946	930	1,748	1,057	987	939	....
13	139	2,209	804	2,230	2,106	280	123	2,240	2,078	....
14	914	1,881	1,195	1,868	1,818	1,433	885	1,895	1,801	....
15	709	1,621	1,018	1,650	1,576	1,105	698	1,657	1,522	....
16	891	1,250	1,201	1,255	1,219	1,548	873	1,276	1,167	....
17	633	2,006	1,411	2,019	1,915	1,108	614	2,077	1,910	....
18	581	1,463	943	1,575	1,408	1,029	553	1,481	1,417	....
19	477	2,192	1,166	2,213	2,095	854	506	2,199	2,073	1
20	1,561	1,298	1,672	1,283	1,388	2,487	1,587	1,397	1,274	....
21	1,715	926	1,523	921	937	2,525	1,673	987	955	....
22	992	1,314	1,404	1,291	1,269	1,595	1,015	1,340	1,287	....
23	1,061	1,258	1,385	1,226	1,201	1,854	1,110	1,271	1,203	....
24	1,416	1,262	1,603	1,246	1,283	2,434	1,400	1,285	1,204	....
25	885	1,136	1,174	1,135	1,109	1,493	936	1,187	1,534	1
	19,997	35,247	29,623	35,245	33,968	33,904	20,008	35,986	34,418	5

* Elected.

Registered Women Voters, Municipal Election, 1897.

Wards.	PRECINCTS.									Totals.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.	
1	91	104	133	102	107	9	28	37	16	627
2	21	29	22	23	12	27	14	3	....	151
3	125	60	53	13	23	7	....	....	....	281
4	39	91	90	58	23	21	....	....	....	322
5	66	60	11	25	32	32	....	....	....	226
6	7	14	9	17	12	13	3	2	....	77
7	14	38	34	28	13	11	....	....	....	138
8	1	35	39	25	10	13	....	....	....	123
9	12	15	3	13	79	80	19	....	....	221
10	26	58	71	72	30	56	73	49	31	466
11	79	27	94	58	25	35	34	24	27	403
12	88	115	70	89	58	40	34	....	....	494
13	8	12	6	19	18	22	25	8	....	118
14	54	9	63	110	126	98	79	54	....	593
15	23	22	49	91	72	82	88	50	....	477
16	55	38	50	78	68	89	33	....	....	411
17	7	18	60	80	21	4	20	41	44	295
18	22	34	52	58	25	72	....	....	....	263
19	16	31	7	34	35	53	36	10	....	222
20	122	104	85	33	74	110	98	65	....	691
21	143	75	45	117	90	140	66	105	81	862
22	44	18	25	69	26	35	78	37	....	332
23	53	6	18	47	73	92	74	56	33	452
24	33	43	101	40	84	78	136	86	49	650
25	53	72	88	17	27	62	48	....	....	367
Total	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	9,262

Number of Women registered who voted for School Committee

(AS PER WARD OFFICERS' RETURNS OF NAMES CHECKED ON VOTING LIST) AT MUNICIPAL ELECTION, 1897.

Wards.	PRECINCTS.									Number of names checked.	Number registered.	Per cent. registered who voted.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.			
1	53	70	85	64	63	5	18	25	9	392	627	62.52
2	16	16	14	22	9	15	4	2	...	98	151	64.90
3	83	28	23	10	9	6	...	...	...	159	281	56.58
4	26	49	63	40	12	14	...	...	...	204	322	63.35
5	35	34	7	12	19	14	...	...	...	121	226	53.54
6	2	8	5	3	4	8	2	...	...	32	77	41.56
7	9	21	21	11	8	5	...	...	...	75	138	54.35
8	1	21	24	17	4	5	...	...	...	72	123	58.54
9	8	13	...	5	50	50	7	...	...	133	221	60.18
10	11	38	51	43	12	32	41	33	15	276	466	59.23
11	54	10	49	32	12	19	16	13	15	220	403	54.59
12	52	71	42	56	31	22	25	...	...	299	494	60.53
13	8	11	5	15	14	17	22	7	...	99	118	83.90
14	30	4	40	78	94	72	55	33	...	406	593	68.47
15	14	14	35	67	45	65	67	35	...	342	477	71.70
16	36	26	32	46	35	46	16	...	...	237	411	57.66
17	2	12	37	45	10	1	11	30	26	174	295	58.98
18	16	21	31	37	14	48	...	...	...	167	263	63.50
19	7	24	4	23	25	29	20	7	...	139	222	62.61
20	82	71	54	20	36	60	66	36	...	425	691	61.51
21	69	36	25	70	60	85	30	64	50	489	862	56.73
22	33	13	17	50	20	29	57	26	..	245	332	73.80
23	32	2	12	27	39	64	46	32	18	272	452	60.18
24	14	21	53	24	54	52	102	63	23	406	650	62.46
25	31	58	62	11	16	39	22	...	...	239	367	65.12
Totals	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	5,721	9,262	

Average per cent. of women registered who voted, 61.77.

Votes cast on the question: Shall Licenses be granted for the Sale of Intoxicating Liquors in this City? — Municipal Election, 1897.

Wards.	Yes.	No.
1	1,727	1,380
2	2,059	839
3	1,333	849
4	1,148	811
5	1,330	681
6	1,806	735
7	1,175	696
8	1,961	771
9	1,694	911
10	1,820	972
11	2,103	766
12	1,754	933
13	1,802	921
14	1,870	1,246
15	1,583	1,035
16	1,593	1,211
17	1,922	1,136
18	1,602	996
19	2,242	931
20	1,884	1,753
21	2,010	1,327
22	2,054	1,073
23	1,866	1,262
24	1,688	1,879
25	1,693	1,063
Totals	43,719	26,177

Proportion of men registered who voted, 68.21 per cent.

2